UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,762	10/31/2003	Bimal Mehta	MSFT-2748/302029.1	2802
	7590 09/23/200 WASHBURN LLP (M	EXAMINER		
CIRA CENTRE	E, 12TH FLOOR	ANYA, CHARLES E		
2929 ARCH ST PHILADELPH	IA, PA 19104-2891		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2194	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Арі	olication No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
		10/	698,762	MEHTA ET AL.	MEHTA ET AL.				
		Exa	ıminer	Art Unit					
		CH	ARLES E. ANYA	2194					
- Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communi Reply	cation appears	on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress				
WHICI - Extens after S - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MISSIONS of time may be available under the provisions BIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commisperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply ply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE (of 37 CFR 1.136(a). unication. ututory period will appl will, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUNI In no event, however, may a y and will expire SIX (6) MON the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,				
Status									
1)[\text{\tint{\text{\tin}\text{\ti}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tin}}\\ \text{\tin}\}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}}\\ \text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tex{\tex	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 30 July 20	009						
•	•	d on <u>50 5ary 20</u> 2b)⊠ This actio							
' —		<i>′</i> —		ters prosecution as to th	a marite is				
-	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
·	bloodd in doddraandd with the pradit	se ander Ex par	re quayre, 1000 o.L	7. 11, 400 O.G. 210.					
Dispositio	on of Claims								
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-34</u> is/are pending	in the applicat	ion.						
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-34</u> is/are rejected.								
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
•	Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or elec	ction requirement.						
			4						
Applicatio 	on Papers								
•	he specification is objected to by the								
10)□ 1	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
,	Applicant may not request that any objec	ction to the drawi	ng(s) be held in abeyaı	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
1	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notice 3) Inform	(s) of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Plation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	TO-948)	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 					

Art Unit: 2194

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-3 and 5-34 are pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 2. Claims 1, 2, 5-10, 12-24 and 26-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 2003/0093500 A1 to Khodabakchian et al. in view of U.S. Pat. No. 7,036,045 B2 issued to Broussard et al.
- 3. As to claim 1, Khodabakchian teaches an asynchronous messaging architecture for processing messages, comprising:

a processor operatively coupled to a computer readable storage medium including computer executable instruction (figure 8 page 6 paragraphs 0070-0076) for:

executing an instance of an automated business process ("...process..." page 1 paragraph 0017, page 3 paragraph 0035), the automated business process including response processing code including exception handling code specifying error compensation ("...compensation rules and exception handlers for a specific scenario..." page 2 paragraphs 0024/0025);

Page 3

executing a program manager configured to manage the instance of the automated business process (Web Service Orchestration Server 102);

the program manager further configured to detect when the instance of the automated business process is waiting for a response to a message ("...suspended until a response..." page 1 paragraph 0017, figure 5 page 4 paragraph 0041), wherein a response indicates a success or failure of the message ("...suspended until a response..." page 1 paragraph 0017, page 3 paragraph 0035, Block 706 page 4 paragraph 0047);

the program manager further configured to store, when the instance is waiting for the response, at least a part of state information associated with the instance in a database and remove the instance from active memory ("...Passivation..." page 3 paragraph 0035, Block 510 page 4 paragraph 0041, "...passivates the states of the process..." page 4 paragraph 0041);

the program manager further configured to determine when the response associated with the instance has been received ("...When the response...is received..." page 3 paragraph 0035, Block 508 page 4 paragraph 0041) and the program manager further configured to restore the instance from the database into memory and pass the instance the message ("...the process is reactivated..." page 3 paragraph 0035, Block 514 page 4 paragraph 0041); and

the instance further configured to process the response using response processing code within the instance ("...Execution of the process..." page 3 paragraph 0035, Block 516 page 4 paragraph 0041).

Art Unit: 2194

Khodabakchian is silent with reference to the instance further configured to process the response using the exception handling code within the instance.

Broussard teaches the instance further configured to process the response using the exception handling code within the instance (figure 4 "...**Within** application logic 50 is a piece of code containing a "try-catch" block 56 to deal with any "Exception e" errors that might occur while "Do some business logic" is executed..." Col. 4 Ln. 52 – 64).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Khodabakchian with the teaching of Broussard because the teaching of Broussard would improve the system of Khodabachian by providing a condition system with a mechanism for signaling and handling unusual conditions, including errors and warnings.

- 4. As to claim 2, Broussard teaches the architecture of claim 1, wherein the response processing code is a try-catch block ("..."try-catch" block 56..." Col. 4 Ln. 52 64).
- 5. As to claim 5, Khodabachian teaches the architecture of claim 1, wherein the response is received on a port defined by the instance (figure 5 page 4 paragraph 0041).

Art Unit: 2194

6. As to claim 6, Khodabakchian teaches the architecture of claim 1, wherein the response is a response indicative of whether or not the message was received by an intended recipient (figure 5 page 4 paragraph 0041).

7. As to claim 7, Khodabakchian teaches a method for processing a message in an asynchronous architecture, comprising:

determining that a response to a message sent by an instance (process) of software code is to be received (Block 508 page 4 paragraph 0041), wherein the response indicates a success or failure of the message (Block 706 page 4 paragraph 0047);

determining whether the response has been received and, if the response has not been received, storing the instance of the software code in memory, thereby suspending the instance ("...Passivation..." page 3 paragraph 0035, Block 508 page 4 paragraph 0041, Block 510 page 4 paragraph 0041, "...passivates the states of the process..." page 4 paragraph 0041);

receiving the response ("...When the response...is received..." page 3 paragraph 0035, Block 512 page 4 paragraph 0041) and resuming the instance ("...Execution of the process..." page 3 paragraph 0035, Block 514 page 4 paragraph 0041); and

processing the response using response processing code within the instance according to the success or failure of the message ("...Execution of the process..." page 3 paragraph 0035, Block 516 page 4 paragraph 0041), wherein a response processing code having failure handling functionality specifying error compensation

("...compensation rules and exception handlers for a specific scenario..." page 2 paragraphs 0024/0025).

Khodabakchian does not explicitly teach a response processing code within the instance having failure handling functionality.

Broussard teaches a response processing code within the instance having failure handling functionality (figure 4 "...**Within** application logic 50 is a piece of code containing a "try-catch" block 56 to deal with any "Exception e" errors that might occur while "Do some business logic" is executed..." Col. 4 Ln. 52 – 64).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Khodabakchian with the teaching of Broussard because the teaching of Broussard would improve the system of Khodabachian by providing a condition system with a mechanism for signaling and handling unusual conditions, including errors and warnings.

- 8. As to claims 8, 22 and 32, see the rejection of claim 2 above.
- 9. As to claim 9, Broussard teaches the method of claim 8, wherein processing the response comprises determining whether the response indicates a failure and, if so, processing the response using the catch block ("..."try-catch" block 56..." Col. 4 Ln. 52 64).

Art Unit: 2194

10. As to claim 10, Khodabakchian teaches the method of claim 9, further comprising, if the response indicates a success, processing the response by way of the instance of the software code (Block 516 page 4 paragraph 0041).

- 11. As to claim 12, Khodabakchian teaches the method of claim 7, wherein storing the instance comprises storing the instance in a database and removing the instance from active memory ("...passivates the states of the process...using the stored data associated with the process..." page 3 paragraph 0035, page 4 paragraph 0041, page 6 paragraph 0068).
- 12. As to claim 13, Khodabakchian teaches the method of claim 12, wherein resuming the instance comprises removing the instance from the database and restoring the instance to active memory ("...passivates the states of the process...using the stored data associated with the process..." page 4 paragraph 0041, page 6 paragraph 0068).
- 13. As to claims 14 and 34, see the rejection of claim 5 above.
- 14. As to claim 15, Khodabachian teaches the method of claim 7, wherein the asynchronous architecture is implemented by way of distributed business process automation software (Web Services 104 page 2 paragraph 0020).

- 15. As to claim 16, Khodabachian teaches the method of claim 7, wherein the message is to be received by a remote computer (page 3 paragraph 0034).
- 16. As to claim 17, see the rejection of claims 1 and 2 above.
- 17. As to claims 18 and 23, see the rejection of claim 9 above.
- 18. As to claim 19, Khodabakchian teaches the method of claim 18, further comprising, if the response is indicative of a success, processing the response within the instance of the automation software and logically after the catch block (Block 516 page 4 paragraph 0041).
- 19. As to claim 20, see the rejection of claim 14 above.
- 20. As to claims 21 and 31, see the rejection of claims 1 and 7 respectively.
- 21. As to claims 24 and 33, see the rejection of claim 19 above.
- 22. As to claims 26-30, see the rejection of claims 12-16 respectively.
- 23. As to claim 34, see the rejection of claim 14 above.

Art Unit: 2194

et al.

24. Claims 3, 11 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 2003/0093500 A1 to Khodabakchian et al. in view of U.S. Pat. No. 7,036,045 B2 issued to Broussard et al. as applied to claims 1, 7 and 21 above, and further in view of U.S. Pub. No. 2003/0204835 A1 to Budhiraja

25. As to claim 3, Broussard and Khodabakchian are silent with reference to the architecture of claim 1, wherein storing the instance takes place after a predetermined time.

Budhiraja teaches the architecture of claim 1, wherein storing the instance takes place after a predetermined time ("...checkpoint..." page 3 paragraphs 0037/0041/0048).

It would have been to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Broussard and Khodabakchian with the teaching of Budhiraja because the teaching of Budhiraja would improve the system of Broussard and Khodabakchian by providing a technique for inserting fault tolerance into computing systems which includes storing a snapshot of a current application state, and using it for restarting execution in case of failure.

26. As to claims 11 and 25, see the rejection of claim 3 above.

Response to Arguments

Art Unit: 2194

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 and 5-34 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U.S. Pat. No. 7,370,224 B2 issued to Breitling, Thomas: directed to error handling in business processes.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHARLES E. ANYA whose telephone number is (571)272-3757. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hyung Sough can be reached on 571-272-6799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2194

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Charles E Anya/ Examiner, Art Unit 2194